

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

PRECISION ASSOCIATES, INC.;
ANYTHING GOES LLC d/b/a MAIL
BOXES ETC., and JCK INDUSTRIES,
INC., on behalf of themselves and all
others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

PANALPINA WORLD TRANSPORT
(HOLDING) LTD., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Case No.: 08-CV-00042 (BMC) (PK)

**NOTICE OF MOTION AND JOINT
MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF
SETTLEMENT WITH CLAIMANT
CHINA CHAMBER OF
INTERNATIONAL COMMERCE**

TO THE COURT AND ALL COUNSEL OF RECORD:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Co-Lead Counsel for the Class of purchasers of Freight Forwarding Services (“Class Counsel”) and claimant China Chamber of International Commerce (“CCOIC”), will move this court before the Honorable Brian M. Cogan, United States District Court Judge, in Courtroom 8D in the United States Courthouse, 225 Cadman Plaza East, Brooklyn, New York 11201 with a return date of April 7, 2019 at 9:30am, regarding the Parties’ Joint Motion, pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 23(E)(5)(b), for an Order approving a settlement between the parties and partial claim award to the CCOIC. The parties do not request oral argument.

Wherefore, on March 16, 2020 this Court issued an indicative ruling approving a settlement between the parties and partial claim award to the CCOIC. ECF No. 1545.

Wherefore, on March 30, 2020 the Second Circuit Court of Appeals remanded the case to the district court. ECF No. 1546.

For the reasons set forth in the accompanying Memorandum of Law, the parties jointly request this Court now approve the settlement between the parties and approve a partial claim award to CCOIC, and enter the attached proposed order.

Dated: April 2, 2020

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Joshua J. Rissman

Daniel E. Gustafson

Daniel C. Hedlund

Michelle J. Looby

Joshua J. Rissman

GUSTAFSON GLUEK PLLC

Canadian Pacific Plaza

120 South 6th Street, Suite 2600

Minneapolis, MN 55402

T: (612) 333-8844

F: (612) 339-6622

E-mail: dgustafson@gustafsongluek.com

dhedlund@gustafsongluek.com

mlooby@gustafsongluek.com

jrissman@gustafsongluek.com

W. Joseph Bruckner

Heidi M. Siltan

Craig S. Davis

LOCKRIDGE GRINDAL NAUEN P.L.L.P.

100 Washington Avenue South, Suite 2200

Minneapolis, MN 55401

T: (612) 339-6900

F: (612) 339-0981

E-mail: wjbruckner@locklaw.com

hsiltan@locklaw.com

csdavis@locklaw.com

Christopher Lovell

Benjamin M. Jaccarino

LOVELL STEWART HALEBIAN

JACOBSON LLP

500 5th Avenue, Suite 2440

New York, NY 10110

T: (212) 608-1900

F: (212) 719-4775

E-mail: clovell@lshllp.com
bjaccarino@lshllp.com

Adam J. Zapala
COTCHETT, PITRE & MCCARTHY, LLP
San Francisco Airport Office Center
840 Malcolm Road, Suite 200
Burlingame, CA 94010
T: (650) 697-6000
F: (650) 697-0577
E-mail: azapala@cpmlegal.com

Interim Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs

/s/ Tejinder Singh
Tejinder Singh
GOLDSTEIN & RUSSELL, P.C.
7475 Wisconsin Ave., Suite 850
Bethesda, MD 20814
T: (202) 362-0636
F: (866) 574-2033
E-mail: tsingh@goldsteinrussell.com

Counsel for the CCOIC

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

PRECISION ASSOCIATES, INC.;
ANYTHING GOES LLC d/b/a MAIL
BOXES ETC., and JCK INDUSTRIES,
INC., on behalf of themselves and all
others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

PANALPINA WORLD TRANSPORT
(HOLDING) LTD., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Case No.: 08-CV-00042 (BMC) (PK)

**JOINT MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN
SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR
APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT WITH
CLAIMANT CHINA CHAMBER OF
INTERNATIONAL COMMERCE**

INTRODUCTION

Pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 23(e)(5)(B), Co-Lead Counsel for the Class of purchasers of Freight Forwarding Services (“Class Counsel”) and claimant China Chamber of International Commerce (“CCOIC”) request approval of a settlement between these parties and a partial claim award to the CCOIC. The proposed settlement and recommendation of a partial award to claimant CCOIC stems from this Court overruling CCOIC’s objection to the Claims Administrators’ claim determination, *see* ECF No. 1535, the CCOIC’s subsequent appeal, and the parties’ resolution of that appeal with the assistance of the Court of Appeals’ Civil Appeals Mediation Program (“CAMP”).

Following the parties’ resolution of the appeal, on March 16, 2020 this Court issued an indicative ruling that, if presented, the Court would approve the proposed settlement between the parties and the partial claim award to the CCOIC. ECF No. 1545. On March 30, 2020, the Second Circuit Court of Appeals remanded the case, vesting this Court with jurisdiction so that it may consider and approve the settlement. ECF No. 1546.

As fully detailed in the Joint Memorandum of Law in Support of Motion for Indicative Ruling Approving Settlement with Claimant China Chamber of International (“Motion for Indicative Ruling”), ECF No. 1544-1, Class Counsel believes a partial claim award for the CCOIC is in the best interests of all class members. The Court has already indicatively ruled it would approve the settlement. The appeal has been remanded to this Court, now vesting this Court with jurisdiction to approve the settlement. Accordingly, the parties request the Court approve the settlement with claimant CCOIC.

BACKGROUND AND SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

Plaintiffs alleged that Defendants conspired to fix the prices of Freight Forwarding Services in violation of the antitrust laws. After many years of litigation, Plaintiffs settled with all Defendants. Under the agreements, the Defendants collectively agreed to pay over \$470 million to resolve Plaintiffs’ claims. The Court granted final approval of all settlements. ECF Nos. 1330; 1394; 1395. The CCOIC, which had filed an aggregated claim on behalf of Chinese class members that did not file their own claims, filed a timely objection to the Claims Administrator’s denial of its claim.

On April 5, 2019, the Court granted Plaintiffs’ motion for disbursement of the settlement funds, ECF No. 1516, and overruled the CCOIC’s objection. ECF No. 1535. The CCOIC appealed the Court’s decision to overrule its objection. ECF No. 1537. Subsequently the parties entered into mediation under the auspices of the Second Circuit’s Civil Appeals Mediation Program (“CAMP”). The mediation and extensive subsequent negotiations were led by Kathleen Scanlon, mediator for CAMP. Ms. Scanlon was extremely helpful, and the discussions were substantive and productive, and the parties resolved their dispute.

Thus, Class Counsel, the CCOIC, and CCOIC’s counsel entered into a settlement agreement that will resolve the CCOIC’s claim and end the ongoing litigation in a manner

agreeable to all parties and beneficial to the class as a whole (the “Settlement Agreement”) (attached hereto as Exhibit A). Class Counsel and the CCOIC have agreed that the CCOIC should receive a partial award of \$4.9 million, compared to the CCOIC’s full claimed amount of \$23.6 million. *Id.* at ¶ 4. As a result, the CCOIC has agreed to withdraw its appeal. *Id.* at ¶ 3. The CCOIC has agreed to further provisions that ensure the CCOIC’s partial award goes to Chinese businesses impacted by Defendants’ allegedly anticompetitive conduct, a concern previously raised by Class Counsel. *Id.* at ¶ 10. Further, the CCOIC has agreed to additional safeguards to ensure the finality of the resolution of this dispute. *Id.* at ¶¶ 4-8. The agreement is subject to the approval of this Court. *Id.* at ¶ 1.

While the CCOIC’s appeal is pending, the claims administrator has held in reserve the full amount the CCOIC would have received—\$23.6 million—had its appeal been successful and its claim been approved in full. *See* ECF No. 1517, at 19. Should the Court approve the Settlement and partial payment award of \$4.9 million, the remainder of the reserve will be distributed *pro rata* to all other qualified claimants previously approved by this Court. If the Court approves this settlement, Class Counsel then will move the Court for leave to disburse to qualified claimants the remainder of the reserve, concurrent with disbursement of remaining funds resulting from uncashed checks from the prior distribution.

ARGUMENT

1. Standard of Review

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(e)(5)(B), the Court’s approval is required where a payment is made in connection with: (1) the withdrawal of an objection to a class settlement; or (2) the dismissal of an appeal arising from final approval of a class settlement.

As this Court previously concluded, “courts apply ‘great deference’ to the decisions of the administrator of a settlement agreement.” Order, ECF No. 1535, April 5, 2019 (quoting

United States v. Int'l Bhd. of Teamsters, Chauffeurs, Warehousemen, and Helpers of America, 905 F.2d 61, 616 (2d Cir. 1990)); *see also Patterson v. Newspaper and Mail Deliverers' Union of New York and Vicinity*, No. 73-cv-3058, 2005 WL 3750749, at *5 (S.D.N.Y. July 13, 2005) (“Thus, it is clear that an administrator’s decision cannot be rejected merely because a court may be inclined to reach a different result.”).

2. The Settlement Agreement is in the Best Interest of All Claimants

As fully detailed in the Motion for Indicative Ruling, the Settlement Agreement is in the best interests of the class for several reasons.

First, a partial payment to the CCOIC is a reasonable exercise of the Claims Administrator and Class Counsel’s analysis and judgment. The Settlement Agreement addresses the concerns that initially motivated the Claims Administrator to deny the CCOIC’s claim. Under the terms of the settlement, the CCOIC’s claim has been appropriately reduced by 80%, consistent with similarly situated claimants, and the CCOIC has agreed to distribute the settlement to its members, who are also members of the class.

Second, the Settlement Agreement resolves the uncertainty inherent in the appeal process and promotes finality and efficiency. Courts have recognized that “[w]hen a court acts as fiduciary for a settling class, it pursues the ‘goal [] of expedient settlement distribution.’” *In re Citigroup Inc. Sec. Litig.*, No. 07 CIV. 9901 SHS, 2014 WL 2445714, at *2 (S.D.N.Y. May 30, 2014) (quoting *In re Orthopedic Bone Screw Prod. Liab. Litig.*, 246 F.3d 315, 321 (3d Cir. 2001)). Courts in this district have held that “as a matter of judicial administration and fairness to all parties, [certain] concern[s] for protecting class members must give way to finality.” *In re Crazy Eddie Sec. Litig.*, 906 F. Supp. 840, 846 (E.D.N.Y. 1995); *see also Blank v. Jacobs*, No. 03-CV-2111 JS WDW, 2013 WL 1310503, at *5 (E.D.N.Y. Mar. 27, 2013) (“there must

eventually be some finality to these inquiries”). By agreeing to a partial payment of \$4.9 million, the parties will end the uncertainty of the appeal and all class members will timely receive their *pro rata* share of the remaining \$18.7 million held in reserve.

Third, the settlement’s partial payment to the CCOIC avoids the risk to the rest of the class that the entire \$23.6 million could be awarded. The class faced a not-insignificant risk that the Second Circuit either would award an amount above the recommend partial payment to the CCOIC, or remand the case to this Court for additional factual findings, costing the class more resources and resulting in further delay.

Finally, the settlement with the CCOIC avoids another intangible, the uncertainty of collateral litigation in Chinese courts. The settlement agreement has important provisions protecting the class from the CCOIC ever pursuing litigation in Chinese court, including a cancellation provision, a release, and indemnification. Settlement Agreement, at ¶¶ 4-8.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, Class Counsel and the CCOIC respectfully request the Court approve the Settlement between the parties.

Dated: April 2, 2020

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Joshua J. Rissman

Daniel E. Gustafson

Daniel C. Hedlund

Michelle J. Looby

Joshua J. Rissman

GUSTAFSON GLUEK PLLC

Canadian Pacific Plaza

120 South 6th Street, Suite 2600

Minneapolis, MN 55402

T: (612) 333-8844

F: (612) 339-6622

E-mail: dgustafson@gustafsongluek.com

dhedlund@gustafsongluek.com

mlooby@gustafsongluek.com

jrisman@gustafsongluek.com

W. Joseph Bruckner
Heidi M. Siltan
Craig S. Davis
LOCKRIDGE GRINDAL NAUEN P.L.L.P.
100 Washington Avenue South, Suite 2200
Minneapolis, MN 55401
T: (612) 339-6900
F: (612) 339-0981
E-mail: wjbruckner@locklaw.com
hsiltan@locklaw.com
csdavis@locklaw.com

Christopher Lovell
Benjamin M. Jaccarino
**LOVELL STEWART HALEBIAN
JACOBSON LLP**
500 5th Avenue, Suite 2440
New York, NY 10110
T: (212) 608-1900
F: (212) 719-4775
E-mail: clovell@lshllp.com
bjaccarino@lshllp.com

Adam J. Zapala
COTCHETT, PITRE & MCCARTHY, LLP
San Francisco Airport Office Center
840 Malcolm Road, Suite 200
Burlingame, CA 94010
T: (650) 697-6000
F: (650) 697-0577
E-mail: azapala@cpmlegal.com

Interim Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs

Tejinder Singh
GOLDSTEIN & RUSSELL, P.C.
7475 Wisconsin Ave., Suite 850
Bethesda, MD 20814
T: (202) 362-0636
F: (866) 574-2033
E-mail: tsingh@goldsteinrussell.com

Counsel for the CCOIC

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

PRECISION ASSOCIATES, INC.;
ANYTHING GOES LLC d/b/a MAIL
BOXES ETC., and JCK INDUSTRIES,
INC., on behalf of themselves and all
others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

PANALPINA WORLD TRANSPORT
(HOLDING) LTD., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Case No.: 08-CV-00042 (BMC) (PK)

**[PROPOSED] ORDER APPROVING
SETTLEMENT WITH CLAIMANT
CHINESE CHAMBER OF
INTERNATIONAL COMMERCE**

THIS CAUSE came before the Court on Class Counsel's and claimant China Chamber of International Commerce's ("CCOIC") Joint Motion for an Order approving a settlement between the parties and partial claim award to the CCOIC. The Court, having reviewed the Motion, hereby:

ORDERS AND ADJUDGES:

1. The Settlement Agreement between Class Counsel and the CCOIC is approved. Class Counsel and the Claims Administrator Epiq Class Action & Claims Solutions, Inc. are authorized and directed to resolve the CCOIC's claim against the settlement funds in this litigation and pay the partial claim award according to the terms of the Settlement Agreement.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: _____, 2020

HON. BRIAN M. COGAN
United States District Judge